

RANDOMLY STAR-DECOMPOSABLE GRAPHS (\*)

Christian Barrientos, Aldo Bernasconi,  
Eric Jeltsch, Claudio Troncoso  
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Chile

Sergio Ruiz  
Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile.

ABSTRACT

Given a graph  $H$ , an  $H$ -decomposable graph  $G$  is said to be randomly  $H$ -decomposable if every family of edge-disjoint subgraphs of  $G$ , each subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ , can be extended to an  $H$ -decomposition of  $G$ . A characterization of randomly  $H$ -decomposable graphs is presented, where  $H$  is the star  $K(1,n)$ .

A decomposition  $F$  of a nonempty graph  $G$  is a collection of subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  such that their edge sets  $E(H_1), E(H_2), \dots, E(H_k)$  form a partition of  $E(G)$ . Such a decomposition is called an  $H$ -decomposition if each of the graphs  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  is isomorphic to a given graph  $H$ . A graph having an  $H$ -decomposition is said to be randomly  $H$ -decomposable if any collection of edge disjoint subgraphs of  $G$ , each isomorphic to  $H$ , can be extended to an  $H$ -decomposition of  $G$ .

In [1], Ruiz introduced the concept of randomly decomposable graphs along with the characterization of those graphs which are  $H$ -decomposable for the case where  $H$  has two edges.

---

(\*) This work has been financed by the United Nations through the project PNUD and by a research grant of Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María.

If a graph  $G$  is randomly  $H$ -decomposable then an  $H$ -decomposition can easily be found. In each step, simply choose a subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ , none of whose edges was chosen previously.

In this paper, the stars  $K(1,n)$  will be denoted by  $S_n$ . For a given positive integer  $n$ , we will determine all those graphs which are randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable.

#### THEOREM

A nontrivial graph  $G$  is randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable ( $n \geq 2$ ) if and only if each component of  $G$  is either

- i) isomorphic to  $K(n,n)$  or
- ii) isomorphic to a bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{V_0, V_1\}$  such that the vertices of  $V_0$  have degrees which are multiple of  $n$  and the vertices of  $V_1$  have degrees less than  $n$ .

#### PROOF

Since  $S_n$  is connected, a graph is randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable if and only if each of its components is randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable. Thus, we may assume that  $G$  is connected.

#### Sufficiency:

If  $G \cong K(n,n)$  then by deleting from  $G$  the edges of any copy of  $S_n$ , it is obtained a graph satisfying condition ii). Thus, without loss of generality we suppose that  $G$  is connected and satisfies ii). All subgraphs of  $G$  isomorphic to  $S_n$  must have their centers at  $V_0$  and an inductive argument makes apparent that  $G$  is randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable.

#### Necessity

Suppose that  $G$  is a randomly  $S_n$ -decomposable connected graph. First, note that  $G$  is bipartite. To see this, consider a  $S_n$ -decomposition  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$ . Define as  $V_0$ , the set of vertices of  $G$  which are centers of stars in  $\mathcal{F}$  and define  $V_1 = V(G) - V_0$ .

We will show that  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  are both independent sets. If  $u$  and  $v$  were two adjacent vertices of  $V_0$ , then the edge  $uv$  belongs to a star  $A$  in  $F$ , centered at  $u$ , say. Since  $v \in V_0$ , there must be another star  $B$  centered at  $v$ . Now, consider the star  $C \notin F$ , centered at  $v$ , formed by selecting the edge  $uv$  and  $n-1$  of the remaining edges of  $B$ . But the set of stars

$$F' = (F - \{A, B\}) \cup \{C\}$$

cannot be extended to a  $S_n$ -decomposition, since the graph obtained from  $G$  by removing the edges of all members in  $F'$  is isomorphic to  $S_{n-1} \cup K_2$ . Therefore,  $V_0$  is an independent set. Now, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are two adjacent vertices of  $V_1$ . Since the edge  $xy$  must be an edge of some star in  $F$ , we conclude that either  $x$  or  $y$  is a center of a star in  $F$ , which is a contradiction, therefore  $V_1$  is also an independent set. Thus,  $G$  is bipartite and the centers of the stars  $S_n$  in a given  $S_n$ -decomposition of  $G$ , belong to the same partite set.

So far, we have that  $G$  is a bipartite graph. Assume further that  $G$  is not isomorphic to  $K(n, n)$ . Consider a particular  $S_n$ -decomposition of  $G$  where the centers form the set  $V_0$ . If all vertices in  $V_1 = V(G) - V_0$  have degree less than  $n$ , then we are done. The proof will be complete when we find a contradiction under the assumption that there is a vertex  $v$  in  $V_1$  with degree  $\geq n$ . By choosing a star  $S_n$  centered at  $v$ , it is possible to extend this star to a  $S_n$ -decomposition of  $G$ , so that, actually all vertices in  $V_1$  become centers of stars. This implies that all vertices in  $V_1$  also have degrees which are multiple of  $n$ .

Now, since  $G$  is not isomorphic to  $K(n, n)$ , we can find a star  $S_n$  centered at a vertex in  $V_0$  and another star centered at a vertex in  $V_1$  such that these two stars do not share any common edge. Certainly, these two stars cannot be extended to a  $S_n$ -decomposition of  $G$ . A contradiction.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] S. Ruiz, Randomly decomposable graphs, Discrete Math. 57 (1985) 123-128.